Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 1306–1308

Structure of (+)-(7*S*,S*S*)-1,2,3,5,6,7-Hexahydro-7-methyl-8-(*p*-tolylsulfinyl)-5-indolizinone

BY D. H. HUA,* S. N. BHARATHI AND A. TSUJIMOTO

Department of Chemistry, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA

AND P. D. ROBINSON[†]

Department of Geology, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL 62901, USA

(Received 12 June 1989; accepted 9 October 1989)

Abstract. $C_{16}H_{19}NO_2S$, $M_r = 289\cdot39$, orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, $a = 11\cdot467$ (5), $b = 17\cdot207$ (8), $c = 7\cdot566$ (4) Å, V = 1493 (2) Å³, Z = 4, $D_x = 1\cdot29$ g cm⁻³, λ (Mo $K\alpha$) = 0.71069 Å, $\mu = 2\cdot07$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 616, T = 296 K, R = 0.049, 928 unique observed reflections. The structure determination combined with the known S configuration at the sulfur site discloses the stereochemistry of the title compound to be 7S and SS.

Introduction. In the studies involving the enantioselective synthesis of biologically active indolizidines, the asymmetric addition reactions of the anion derived from (+)-(SR)-3,4-dihydro-5-(p-tolylsulfinylmethyl)-2H-pyrrole (I) (Morrison & Boyd, 1987) and *trans*-methyl or -ethyl crotonates were investigated. The reaction afforded 80% yield (isolated) of the title compound (II) and its 7*R* isomer (III) (ratio of 1:2; same ratios were obtained in either methyl or ethyl crotonate reactions). The relative stereochemistry at the S atom and C(7) of (II) was proven by this X-ray study. This proof, in turn, provides firm evidence of the stereochemical course followed in asymmetric addition reactions of chiral α -sulfinyl ketimine anions [such as (I)] with *trans*-alkyl crotonates.

Experimental. All new compounds displayed satisfactory ¹H NMR (400 MHz), ¹³C NMR (100 MHz), UV, IR and low-resolution mass spectra (both EI and CI) and satisfactory elemental analysis. Title compound, (+)-(7S,SS)-1,2,3,5,6,7-hexahydro-7methyl-8-(p-tolylsulfinyl)-5-indolizinone (II), and related compound, (-)-(7R,SS)-1,2,3,5,6,7-hexahydro-7-methyl-8-(p-tolylsulfinyl)-5-indolizinone (III) prepared as follows. To a cold (195 K) solution of 1 g (4.52 mmol) of (+)-SR-3,4-dihydro-5-(p-tolylsulfinylmethyl)-2H-pyrrole (I) [ketimine (I) prepared by treating 3 equivalents 3,4-dihydro-5-methyl-2H-

† To whom all correspondence should be addressed.

0108-2701/90/071306-03\$03.00

pyrrole (Bielawski, Brandange & Lindblom, 1978) with 3 equivalents lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at 273 K for 30 min followed by 1 equivalent (-)-(SS)-1-menthyl p-toluenesulfinate in THF at 223 K for 1 h; 92% yield; $[\alpha]_D^{20^{\circ}C}$ $+ 146^{\circ}$ (c 0.645 in CH₂Cl₂)] in 30 ml THF under argon was added a solution (243 K) of 5.4 mmol of LDA in 20 ml of THF via cannula. Resulting yellow solution stirred at 195 K for 30 min, 0.62 g (5.4 mmol) trans-methyl crotonate added. After stirring at 298 K for 24 h, solution diluted with 50 ml of H₂O and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ three times (100 ml each). Combined extracts washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), concentrated, and column chromatographed on silica gel to give 0.354 g (27% yield) of (II); $[\alpha]_D^{22^{\circ}C} + 69^{\circ}$ (c 0.235, CH₂Cl₂), and 0.695 g (53% yield) of (III); $[\alpha]_D^{22^{\circ}C} - 106^{\circ}$ (c 0.51, CH₂Cl₂). Colorless prisms of (II) obtained by recrystallization from ether:hexane (1:2); m.p. 450-451 K. Recrystallization of solid (III) produced material unsuitable for single-crystal analysis; m.p. 415-416 K.

Crystal of (II) used for data collection 0.27×0.22 \times 0.19 mm, colorless, transparent fragment cut from larger crystal. Rigaku AFC5S diffractometer, graphite-monochromated Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, ω scans, scan speed 6° min⁻¹, maximum of 3 scan repetitions to obtain $\sigma F/F < 0.10$. Lattice parameters from leastsquares fit of 25 strong reflections in 2θ range 24–33°. A total of 1534 reflections measured ($h \ 0$ to 13, $k \ 0$ to 20, $l \ 0$ to 8), 606 reflections considered unobserved with $[I < 2\sigma(I)]$, data set comprised of 928 unique reflections, $[(\sin \theta)/\lambda]_{max} = 0.60 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. Three standard reflections (211, 230, 220) changed by 0.0, -0.6 and -0.5%, respectively; no decay correction applied. Data corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption (empirical ψ -scan method, 3 reflections, transmission range 0.98-1.0). Direct methods provided the locations of all non-H atomic positions. Full-matrix least-squares refinement was performed to minimize $\sum w(|F_a| - |F_c|)^2$ where w = $1/\sigma^2(|F_0|)$ and p = 0.05 (details of σ^2 calculation

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, 1989-1991.

Table 1.	Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and	equival	en	
isotropic temperature factors							

	-1			
	x	у	z	$B_{\rm eq}({\rm \AA}^2)$
S(1)	0.0419 (2)	0.2172(1)	0.4209 (3)	4.41 (9)
$\dot{O(1)}$	-0.1837 (5)	0.3969 (3)	0.9769 (7)	5.6 (3)
O(2)	0.1593 (4)	0.1868 (3)	0.4731 (8)	6.5 (3)
C(1)	-0.0626 (7)	0.3875 (4)	0.402 (1)	5.0 (4)
C(2)	-0.098 (1)	0.4658 (5)	0.459 (1)	7.9 (6)
C(3)	-0.1374 (7)	0.4639 (4)	0.642(1)	5.1 (4)
N(4)	-0.1059 (5)	0.3852 (3)	0.7011 (7)	3.6 (3)
C(5)	-0.1417 (6)	0.3563 (4)	0.861 (1)	4.2 (4)
C(6)	-0.1296 (6)	0.2693 (4)	0.8763 (9)	4.2 (3)
C(7)	-0.0237 (5)	0.2345 (4)	0.7831 (8)	3.3 (3)
C(8)	-0.0172 (5)	0.2683 (4)	0.6005 (9)	3.2 (3)
C(8a)	-0.0583 (5)	0.3398 (4)	0.5684 (9)	3.4 (3)
C(9)	0.0888 (6)	0.2489 (5)	0.885 (1)	5.3 (4)
C(10)	-0.0558 (6)	0.1345 (3)	0.4224 (9)	3.3 (3)
C(11)	-0.1724 (6)	0.1453 (4)	0-394 (1)	3.9 (3)
C(12)	-0.2441 (6)	0.0809 (4)	0.382 (1)	4.6 (4)
C(13)	-0.2018 (6)	0.0070 (4)	0.404 (1)	3.9 (3)
C(14)	-0.0839 (7)	-0.0012 (4)	0.437 (1)	4.7 (4)
C(15)	-0.0102 (6)	0.0618 (4)	0.446(1)	4.2 (4)
C(16)	-0.2822 (8)	-0.0631 (5)	0.393 (1)	6.5 (5)

 $B_{\rm eq} = (8\pi^2/3)$ trace **U**.

Table 2. Selected bond distances (Å), bond angles (°), and their e.s.d.'s

S(1)	O(2)	1.498 (5)	C(6)	C(7)	1.526 (9)
S(1)	C(8)	1.755 (6)	C(7)	C(8)	1.500 (8)
S(1)	C(10)	1.812 (6)	C(7)	C(9)	1.525 (9)
O (1)	C(5)	1.218 (8)	C(8)	C(8a)	1.339 (8)
C(1)	C(2)	1.47 (1)	C(10)	C(11)	1.368 (9)
C(1)	C(8a)	1.51 (1)	C(10)	C(15)	1.368 (9)
C(2)	C(3)	1.46 (1)	C(11)	C(12)	1.383 (9)
C(3)	N(4)	1.470 (9)	C(12)	C(13)	1.371 (9)
N(4)	C(5)	1.374 (9)	C(13)	C(14)	1.383 (9)
N(4)	C(8a)	1.385 (8)	C(13)	C(16)	1.52 (1)
C(5)	C(6)	1.51 (1)	C(14)	C(15)	1.38 (1)
O(2)	S(1)	C(8)	108.6 (3)	S(1)	C(8)	C(7)	122.5 (5)
O(2)	S(1)	C(10)	106.2 (3)	S(1)	C(8)	C(8a)	117-1 (5)
C(8)	S(1)	C(10)	98.6 (3)	C(7)	C(8)	C(8a)	120.3 (6)
C(2)	C(1)	C(8a)	105.2 (6)	C(1)	C(8a)	N(4)	106.6 (6)
C(1)	C(2)	C(3)	110-1 (7)	C(1)	C(8a)	C(8)	131.6 (7)
C(2)	C(3)	N(4)	103.5 (7)	N(4)	C(8a)	C(8)	121.7 (6)
C(3)	N(4)	C(5)	121.8 (6)	S(1)	C(10)	C(11)	119.8 (5)
C(3)	N(4)	C(8a)	113.4 (6)	S(1)	C(10)	C(15)	118.9 (5)
C(5)	N(4)	C(8a)	123.6 (6)	C(11)	C(10)	C(15)	121-3 (6)
O(1)	C(5)	N(4)	122.9 (7)	C(10)	C(11)	C(12)	118.8 (6)
O(1)	C(5)	C(6)	123.6 (7)	C(11)	C(12)	C(13)	121.7 (6)
N(4)	C(5)	C(6)	113.4 (7)	C(12)	C(13)	C(14)	117-5 (6)
C(5)	C(6)	C(7)	115.3 (6)	C(12)	C(13)	C(16)	121.0 (7)
C(6)	C(7)	C(8)	108.3 (5)	C(14)	C(13)	C(16)	121.5 (7)
C(6)	C(7)	C(9)	112.0 (5)	C(13)	C(14)	C(15)	122.0 (6)
C(8)	C(7)	C(9)	111.3 (5)	C(10)	C(15)	C(14)	118.6 (6)

given in Robinson, Hinckley & Kibala, 1988). After anisotropic convergence the methyl, methylene and phenyl H atoms were placed in assumed positions (C—H = 0.95 Å), methyl group orientations fixed on the basis of H-atom positions obtained from difference Fourier synthesis. The H(9) atomic site obtained directly from a difference Fourier synthesis. H-atom positions were not refined due to the poor adjustable parameter-to-observation ratio (apparently a result of the high overall thermal motion of

the compound). Final stages of refinement performed with 182 variables including all non-H positional and anisotropic thermal parameters, one scale factor, and a secondary-extinction coefficient (0·11789 × 10⁻⁵). Convergence yielded R = 0.049, wR = 0.054, S =1·23 and $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.00$. Final difference synthesis produced $(\Delta\rho)_{max} = 0.22$ and $(\Delta\rho)_{min} = -0.18$ e Å⁻³. Atomic scattering factors and anomalous-dispersion corrections from Cromer & Waber (1974). All computer programs from the *TEXSAN* crystal-structureanalysis package (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985).

Discussion. Final atomic coordinates with equivalent isotropic temperature factors are given in Table 1; Table 2 contains selected interatomic distances and angles.* Fig. 1 shows the molecular configuration, atom-numbering scheme, and thermal motion, while Fig. 2 depicts the molecular packing.

The double bond [C(8)=C(8a)] of the molecule is conjugated with the amide functional group through

* Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52723 (15 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.



Fig. 1. Molecular configuration and IUPAC atom-numbering scheme for the non-H atoms, thermal ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. H atoms show as isotropic spheres with B's of 1.0 Å^2 .



Fig. 2. Stereoscopic illustration of the molecular packing.

the lone pair of the N atom. The bond angle C(3)—N(4)—C(5) of 121·8 (6)° confirms the sp^2 hybridization at N. The bond angle C(1)—C(8a)=C(8) of 131·6 (7)° is larger than the 120° value for alkene. The bond angle C(8)—S(1)—C(10), and bond lengths C(8)—S(1) and S(1)=O(2) are normal (Bandoli, Panattoni, Clemente, Tondello, Dondoni & Mangini, 1971). The stereochemistry determined at C(7) and S is in line with the prediction that the anion derived from (+)-(I) approaches alkyl *trans*-crotonate predominantly from the *re* face (Izumi & Tai, 1977).

We gratefully thank the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (Grant GM36336) and the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-8800654) for their financial support.

References

- BANDOLI, G., PANATTONI, C., CLEMENTE, D. A., TONDELLO, E., DONDONI, A. & MANGINI, A. (1971). J. Chem. Soc. B, pp. 1407–1411.
- BIELAWSKI, J., BRANDANGE, S. & LINDBLOM, L. (1978). J. Heterocycl. Chem. 15, 97–99.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1974). In International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, p. 71 (scattering factors), p. 148 (anomalous dispersion). Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- IZUMI, Y. & TAI, A. (1977). Stereodifferentiating Reactions, pp. 68–69. New York: Academic Press.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200A Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX77381, USA.
- MORRISON, R. T. & BOYD, R. N. (1987). Organic Chemistry, 5th ed., pp. 138–144 (specification of R and S configuration), pp. 492–494 (nomenclature of benzene derivatives: p definition). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- ROBINSON, P. D., HINCKLEY, C. C. & KIBALA, P. A. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 1365-1368.

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 1308-1312

Structures of Colchicine Analogues. III. 2-Methoxy-5-(2',3'-dimethoxyphenyl)- and 2-Methoxy-5-(3',4'-dimethoxyphenyl)cyclohepta-2,4,6-trien-1-one

BY R. W. GABLE AND M. F. MACKAY

Department of Chemistry, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria 3083, Australia

AND M. G. BANWELL AND J. N. LAMBERT

Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, 3052, Australia

(Received 7 August 1989; accepted 5 October 1989)

Abstract. (4) and (5): $C_{16}H_{16}O_4$, $M_r = 272.3$, Cu K α , $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å, T = 295 (1) K. 5-(2,3-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methoxycyclohepta-2,4,6-trien-1-one (4): orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, a = 7.330(1), b = 8.992(1), c = 21.416(1) Å, V = 1411.6(4) Å³, Z = 4, D_m (flotation) = 1.28 (1), $D_x = 1.281$ Mg m⁻³, $\mu = 0.67$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 576. Final R = 0.032 for 1248 observed data. 5-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methoxycyclohepta-2,4,6-trien-1-one (5): monoclinic, C2/c, a $= 26.131(5), b = 7.634(1), c = 14.158(2) \text{ Å}, \beta =$ $104.78 (2)^{\circ}, V = 2731 (1) \text{ Å}^3, Z = 8, D_m \text{ (flotation)} =$ $D_x = 1.324 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}, \quad \mu = 0.69 \text{ mm}^{-1},$ 1.33(1),F(000) = 1152. Final R = 0.047 for 1584 observed data. Molecules of (4) and (5) assume a solid-state conformation that resembles that of isocolchicine rather than colchicine. The dihedral angle between the rings is 52.6° in (4) and 43.4° in (5) compared with 53° in colchicine.

Introduction. The potent antimitotic properties of colchicine (1) (Brossi, Yeh, Chrzanowska, Wolff, 0108-2701/90/071308-05\$03.00

Hamel, Lin, Quin, Suffness & Silverton, 1988) and the bicyclic analogues (2) (Fitzgerald, 1976) and (3) (Banwell, Herbert, Buckleton, Clark, Rickard, Lin & Hamel, 1988) stem from the ability of these molecules to bind to the protein tubulin and thereby interfere with cellular processes that depend upon polymerization of that protein. On the basis of extensive physicochemical and structural studies (Banwell, Herbert, Buckleton, Clark, Rickard, Lin & Hamel, 1988), it has been argued that (1) and (2) undergo reversible binding to tubulin, giving an initial complex which is then converted into a more stable one. There is evidence suggesting that preliminary binding of (1) and (2) occurs with a skewed conformation of these molecules, while binding in the more stable complex involves a near-planar relationship between the tropone (C) and any (A) rings. The existence of two partial binding sites on the protein has been established, one for the trimethoxyphenyl A ring and one for the troponoid C ring. On the basis of the foregoing, it seems that conformational preferences

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography